

1 JOSEPH T. McNALLY
2 Acting United States Attorney
3 LINDSEY GREER DOTSON
4 Assistant United States Attorney
5 Chief, Criminal Division
6 CATHARINE A. RICHMOND (Cal. Bar No. 301184)
7 Assistant United States Attorney
8 Violent & Organized Crime Section
9 1300 United States Courthouse
10 312 North Spring Street
11 Los Angeles, California 90012
12 Telephone: (213) 894-7162
13 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
14 Email: catharine.richmond@usdoj.gov

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

18 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

20 No. CR 25-40-HDV

21 Plaintiff,

22 [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
23 DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
24 EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
25 TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

v.

26 ROHAN SANDEEP RANE, ET AL.,
27 COLLIN JOHN WALKER, and
CLINT JORDAN BORGE,

28 Defendants Defendant.

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: [10-07-25]
[PROPOSED] PRETRIAL CONFERENCE:
[09-25-25]

29
30 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
31 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
32 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
33 parties in this matter on March 21, 2025. The Court hereby finds
34 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
35 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
36 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
37 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
3 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
7 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
9 diligence.

10 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

11 1. The trial in this matter is continued from April 8, 2025
12 (defendant Walker) and April 29, 2024 (defendant Borge) to October 7,
13 2025. The status conference hearing is continued to September 25,
14 2025.

15 2. The time period of April 8, 2025 (defendant Walker) and
16 April 29, 2024 (defendant Borge) to October 7, 2025, inclusive, is
17 excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence,
18 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24

25

26

27

28

1 3. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
2 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
3 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
4 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
5 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
6 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
7 commence.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.
9
10

DATE

HONORABLE HERNÁN D. VERA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14 Presented by:

15 *Catharine Richmond*
16

17 CATHARINE A. RICHMOND
Assistant United States Attorney

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28